

---

Church of God—Big Sandy, TX Teen Bible Study

# **The Triumph of Design & the Demise of Darwin Video**

Information compiled from video by Jonathan Stahl  
Saturday, September 23, 2000



# Contents

<b>Triumph of Design</b>	<b>1</b>
Common beliefs of evolutionists.....	1
Darwin’s time period.....	1
What Darwin learned and passed on to this generation.....	2
Naturalism.....	2
Evolution and design.....	2
Problems for Darwin.....	3
Caveman fossil find proves evolution is true!.....	3
Darwinists summation.....	4
The simple cell.....	4
Xerox machine and you.....	4
Evolutionist believers view of creation believers.....	5
What mother nature really is saying.....	5
Conclusion.....	5
Miscellaneous.....	6
<b>Glossary of Terms</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>9</b>



# Triumph of Design

---

## Common beliefs of evolutionists

---

The bulk of the following information is taken directly from the video: *The Triumph of Design and the Demise of Darwin*. Some additional information and wording has been added by me for clarification and to help synthesize the information. I compiled this information from listening to the video so that our teens would have a written synopsis of the information. I highly recommend the video to anyone, young or old. It is a superb video, excellent quality and extremely well-organized. It is definitely something to add to your video library.

---

Many intellectuals believe that they are too intelligent compared to others and the belief in a God is for the poor man as opposed to the intellectual way of understanding the universe and *the beginning*.

Common beliefs: Many believe that life simply began somewhere, but can offer no decent shred of evidence for proving it. Evolution to most people is a given not a theory. They believe that the first two chapters of Genesis is a myth. In biology classes, the assumption is that life began from a single-cell entity and not designed.

Thought on evolution: When in every town, city, suburb, school and university, evolution is believed to be true and true beyond question, then it we can probably assume that it isn't true.

---

## Darwin's time period

Darwin was writing and studying in the 19<sup>th</sup> century after the Enlightenment time period; let's replace the traditions and religious with a universal human reason that is available to everyone. They needed to get God out the picture entirely so they created a new creation story to fit within the thinking of enlightened thinking.

1859, Darwin published the *Origin of Species*: two claims: 1) living things experience *variations* [mutations, random changes] and 2) *natural selection* which preserve the favorable changes and eliminates the bad changes; This will happen extremely slowly requiring many millenias and in tiny ways. Darwin based his theory on the inter-breeding of dogs. But, Darwin had to prove that this micro-evolution [different dog styles via breeding, moths] also was seen in a macro-evolution environment [single-cell entity to human or whale to a cow].

Richard Dawkins, one of the foremost and premier Darwin dominant advocates, says that natural selection is a *blind, unconscious, automatic process which is **the** explanation for the existence and purposeful form for all life*. In their belief, there is no need or room for the supernatural [God]; this is the rule and not the exception in the school of thought on evolution.

---

## What Darwin learned and passed on to this generation

The implications of his theory were profound and difficult to accept, but impossible to reject.

- Implications of Darwin saw in evolution:
- Argument from design fails...no intelligent designers are visible in the natural world
- When mammals die, they are really and truly dead
- No ultimate foundation for ethics exists
- No ultimate meaning in life exists
- Free will is a human myth

---

## Naturalism

Modern evolutionary biology today strongly upholds the implications created by Darwin. Naturalism is a belief structure based on absolutely no God or intelligent creator; their philosophy has no room even to think of God. And a result of this is that God's moral authority has no meaning or effect.

Agnostics know for sure there is no need for God, so there is no need to even ponder his existence: they can *explain everything without God*. They equate believing in God the same as believing in the tooth-fairy or Santa Claus.

In its most extreme forms, scientific naturalism is the rationale for the terror of nazi thinking and the tyranny of communism. And it the concept of absolute authority and power and intolerance rule.

Naturalism quietly rules in our schools.

The more nature reveals itself to mankind, the more doubt about the process of naturalism. Life seems to more and more about inspiration than an accident.

There is a huge difference between a monkey and a man. We often hear of the similarities between the two; think of the differences and which list is greater.

---

## Evolution and design

Fact: total lack of sound, scientific proof; the whole philosophy is based upon a lifestyle without God; it is an imagined creation story just like the Egyptians and Babylonians created their own creation stories.

Bird vs airplane: Airplane is obviously designed. A Bird can do far more complex items like able to feed itself, repairs itself, preens it own wings, reproduces, feeds it young, does functions that the plane will never be able to do. How can we assume that the bird which is infinitely more complex is not designed just like the plane?

If life is evolving then we should see countless examples of transitional species. There has never been found a single hard piece of evidence of a transitional species.

Belief: bacteria simply must be able to evolve into humans and plants and animals because it must happen that way.

Evolutionists have many fables or *just-so stories*. Stories that cannot be even slightly proved by true scientific evidence, but are accepted as truth just because.

During the process of evolving, a species would actually become less effective than more effective during some of their inter-species transitions. Evolutionists believe that this macro-evolution is true even though there is absolutely no proof that it happens, or has ever happened. But when your belief is an imagination, then anything can happen, right? They are very easily satisfied with bogus and imaginative answers because it doesn't matter how it happens, it simply has happened.

---

## Problems for Darwin

The problem for evolution belief is not what doesn't exist, but what does exist. From a single ancestor many species would evolve, claimed Darwin. However, the fossil record shows us just the opposite. All major animal groups appeared at a single moment according to the fossil record; as if they were suddenly planted on the planet. There is no fossil record of slight evolvings between species [macro-evolution].

Darwin also claims that the extinction of species would be gradual and nearly non-existent. However we see from the fossil record, that species not only appeared on the scene suddenly, but that species quickly disappeared. There is no record of species transitioning out of existence because they became another species.

---

## Caveman fossil find proves evolution is true!

Pittdown man: Early 20<sup>th</sup> century, the Darwinists during this time were desperate for something to prove the origin of man from the macro-evolution transition from an ape to mankind.

In Southern England, an amateur named Dawson and a few others found some bones that apparently showed an ape-like figure with a developing cranium which would prove a macro-evolution transition event.

However, it was later discovered by scientists who went to investigate the discovery that the bones were put together, filed, stained, etc. in a deliberate and conscious fraud attempt.

Unfortunately, the whole scientific community wanted so hard to believe Dawson's story that they completely accepted the find because it told the story they needed. For over 40 years it provided the ammunition they needed. The New York Times newspaper headline read at the time of the find: *Darwin Theory is Proved True!* This newspaper and no other newspaper from the entire planet sent any one to prove the find. They simply accepted the press release and published this astounding discovery which was later proved 100% to be a total fraud. No newspapers printed a retraction or a story about the fraud.

---

## Darwinists summation

Summation of Darwinists in attempting to prove their own theory, acknowledge that:

- There is no evidence of transitional species
- No hard evidence in the fossil record
- Most species have not changed at all
- The major animal groups exploded onto the scene
- Those that vanished disappeared in a heartbeat

All these items run totally against Darwin's theories.

---

## The simple cell

How complex is a single-cell? With the technology we have today we have learned that they are sophisticated and complex, perfectly coordinated organisms. Think of a single cell as a large city like New York with roads, interstates, factories, communications, coordination, information and processes.

Darwin said: *If it could be demonstrated that a any complex organ existed that which could not have been possible formed by numerous successive slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down.*

The single-cell entity is where Darwin believed all is derived. But, Darwin knew nothing about electron microscopes or cellular biology. Today we know for a fact, that the nucleus of a cell contains more information than all 30 volumes of the encyclopedia Britannica combined. A simple, single-cell is: complex, specific, and perfectly ordered. Darwin by his admission proves his theory is a fraud.

In reality, Darwinists do not make a serious effort in proving how a *bat got its wings*. In fact, they are not scientific at all. They do not pursue how biologically these things can happen on their own. One simple reasons for their using imaginative stories is that you lose your prestige, or lose face, if you admit you can't prove it or you prove your own story false. So, now it becomes an issue of pride and the inability to admit they are wrong.

---

## Xerox machine and you

Richard Dawkins imagines the single-cell as a *xerox machine capable of copying its own blueprints, but not capable of spring spontaneously into existence.*

Imagine, at the brink of creation next to this wonderfully complex xerox machine and beside it, Richard Dawkins secreting from his barely evolved brain pondering how this machine came to be

His best guess on how it happened: *sheer, unadulterated, miraculous luck it must have slopped itself together from some imagined chemical soup.*

Scientists have never been able to reproduce this concept in any lab.

Another prominent evolutionist believes that these first primitive single-cell life forms must have come to earth from a spaceship from a dying alien race.

Makes you wonder why the aliens who had the technology to travel through space and land on another planet, only left behind single-cell entities on the planets and not their own civilization.

---

## Evolutionist believers view of creation believers

In the late 20<sup>th</sup> century, Darwinists presumed that everyone *except the ignorant, stupid, insane or wicked* believe in the same evolutionary theories as they do.

Ironically, they cannot teach their theory of evolution without taking a swipe at religion.

Those believing in evolution are strangely intolerant of those who do not believe what they do while claiming of their tolerant philosophy and fair-minded approach to life.

They state: *religious fundamentalists threaten the fair-minded Darwinists believers.*

Christianity is called by them as a *slave religion*.

---

## What mother nature really is saying

What we see just from the outside of nature is *a marvelous and creative mind*, an intelligent design. When we investigate nature we easily and obviously conclude that information came first, then matter and matter is the compilation of information.

The phrase, *In the beginning was the Word*, is scientifically a true statement. There is no known information creation by any unintelligent process.

We see evolution believers holding onto their 19<sup>th</sup> centuries imaginary beliefs just like communism tried to hold onto their beliefs. The Berlin Wall did come down.

Even a single-cell flagella bacteria has many components. The concept of a dumb single-cell is actually ludicrous. When you see the extremely complex and sophisticated natural world, you really see a design point.

Nature is a language in which we can, as humans, read and interpret—A language requires intelligence and design.

---

## Conclusion

Let ask the simple question of: Is it reasonable that organisms were intelligently designed by a creator who brought about these things by the application of intelligence?

Not only is this reasonable, but all scientific evidence points to it. It is only prejudice, materialistic and naturalistic prejudice that blinds evolutionists to this reality that is literally telling them how things really are, yet they refuse to acknowledge the truth.

John 1:1-3— In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. He was with God in the beginning. Through him all things were made; without him nothing was made that has been made.

Genesis 1:1-26— In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth. Now the earth was formless and empty, darkness was over the surface of the deep, and the Spirit of God was hovering over the waters. And God said, "Let there be..."

Job 38:1-41:34—Then the LORD answered Job out of the storm. He said: "Who is this that darkens my counsel with words without knowledge? Brace yourself like a man; I will question you, and you shall answer me. "Where were you when I laid the earth's foundation? Tell me, if you understand..."

---

## Miscellaneous

Triumph of Design and the Demise of Darwin: <http://www.TriumphOfDesign.com>

Recommended Book: *Darwin on Trial*

# Glossary of Terms



# Index

Error! No index entries found.